

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/089,011	03/25/2002	Kenneth James Bunker	7038-3011-001	5289	
27305	7590 08/23/2004		EXAM	INER	
HOWARD	HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.			GRAHAM, MATTHEW C	
	THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	LD HILLS, MI 48304-51	3151	3683		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/089,011	BUNKER, KENNETH JAMES			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew C Graham	3683 MW			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ju</u>	<u>ine 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	,				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 14-16 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.				
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·	` '			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		` ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
	•				
Attachment(s)	A □ 1	(DTO 440)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) te			
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/089,011 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on 6/01/2004.

2. Claims 3 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 is indefinite in that it depends on a canceled claim. For purposes of examination, claim 3 will be considered to depend from claim 14. Claim 14 is indefinite in the recitation of "braking surfaces at least three...". This recitation is confusing and grammatically incorrect. Claim 15 is indefinite due to its dependency on claim 14.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carre et al.

Note the previous discussion on paper mailed 2/26/2004.

The multi-discs shown in Carre et al does not preclude a single disc as the claims do not recite the term "consisting".

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised

of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 7. Carre et al in view of UK Steiner et al.

The claimed invention differs from Carre et al. only in the use of a hydraulic cylinder and piston. Steiner et al. show a motor that operates a hydraulic piston and cylinder to operate the brakes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad to utilize a hydraulic piston and cylinder with Carre et al. as a replacement for the wedding unit dependent on the type of vehicle so as to provide for anti-clock or anti-slip braking.

8. Applicant's arguments filed 6/1/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Contrary to Applicants contention, the discs of Carre et al. are slidable to the broad degree claimed. As to the single disc, multi-discs encompass a single disc.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/089,011

Art Unit: 3683

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew C Graham at telephone number 703-308-2570.

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

GROUP 310